Applicant(s) Application No. BUHL ET AL. 10/815,933 Interview Summary **Art Unit** Examiner 3721 Sameh H. Tawfik All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Kavita Lepping. (1) Sameh H. Tawfik. (4)____. (2) Tomas Wiseman. Date of Interview: 01 April 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: of record. Identification of prior art discussed: 3,050,427. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicants clarified the invention and pointed out to the "fluidized bed" and the air flow direction as disclosed in the claim language. Applicants understand they may need to amend the claims to clarify the novelty and the limitation of the "fluidized bed". (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required